October 2007



#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

2006-2007 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as *Learning Results*, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning Test™ (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. This year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning Test™ was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's *Learning Results*. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Due to the inclusion of the additional items in mathematics, it was necessary to set new achievement level standards for that discipline this year. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards were not changed for the Critical Reading and Writing sections of the MHSA.

These 2006-2007 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance on the SAT in critical reading, mathematics, and writing reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for many students may also be used for college admission, they may not be used for that purpose if a student received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board.

These results reflect scores based on SAT and Math-A test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The SAT Reasoning Test™ employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics questions, and select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat\_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the *Learning Results* and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron

Commissioner of Education



# High School Report

Test Date: May 2007

ID: 12661805

District: MSAD 75

School: Mt Ararat High School

#### **Contents of the Report**

The report is divided into five main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Topic	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9



V- - --

### **SUMMARY OF SCORES**


Date: May 2007 District: MSAD 75


School: Mt Ararat High School


## Summary of School, District, and State Scores


**Average Scaled Score** 

Year			
icui	School	District	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007	1141	1141	1141
Mathematics 2006–2007	1141	1141	1140
Writing 2006–2007	1142	1142	1141











### **SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION**

Date: May 2007 District: MSAD 75

		En	rol	lme	nt¹								CC	N	ΓΕΝ	IT.	AR	EΑ	PA	\R1	ГІС	IPA	TIC	)N²	2					
CATEGORY OF		during				w		С	ritical	Readi	ng				Mathe	matic	s				Wri	iting								
PARTICIPATION	Sc	hool	Dis	strict	S	tate	Sc	hool	Dis	trict	St	ate	Sch	nool	Dis	trict	Sta	ate	Scl	nool	Dis	strict	St	ate	Sc	hool	Dis	trict	St	tate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total number of students	262	100	264	100	16094	100	258	98	260	98	15236	95	260	99	262	99	15599	97	258	98	260	98	15229	95						
Ethnicity African American	4	2	5	2	333	2	4	100	5	100	295	89	4	100	5	100	308	92	4	100	5	100	294	88						
American Indian/Native Alaskan	1	0	1	0	91	1	1	100	1	100	81	89	1	100	1	100	84	92	1	100	1	100	81	89						
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	2	4	2	226	1	4	100	4	100	196	87	4	100	4	100	204	90	4	100	4	100	193	85						
Hispanic	5	2	5	2	140	1	5	100	5	100	124	89	5	100	5	100	130	93	5	100	5	100	124	89						
White	248	95	249	94	15304	95	244	98	245	98	14540	95	246	99	247	99	14873	97	244	98	245	98	14537	95						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Identified disability	63	24	64	24	2351	15	61	97	62	97	2047	87	62	98	63	98	2169	93	61	97	62	97	2044	87						
Current LEP	0	0	0	0	285	2	0	0	0	0	237	83	0	0	0	0	250	88	0	0	0	0	233	82						
Economically disadvantaged	34	13	34	13	3924	24	32	94	32	94	3561	91	33	97	33	97	3702	94	32	94	32	94	3558	91						
Migrant	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	100						

MODE OF			Critic	al Re	eading	3				Mathe	matic	3				Wr	iting							
	S	chool	1	Distric	ct	Sta	ite	Scl	loor	Dis	trict	St	ate	Sch	nool	Dis	trict	Sta	ate	Sc	loor	Dis	trict	State
PARTICIPATION <sup>3</sup>	N	%	N		%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N 9
Participation without accommodations	207	79	20	8	79 1	3484	84	209	80	210	80	13851	86	207	79	208	79	13484	84					
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	20	10	20	)	10	743	6	21	10	21	10	865	6	20	10	20	10	743	6					
LEP	0	0	0		0	187	1	0	0	0	0	204	1	0	0	0	0	187	1					
504 plan	0	0	0		0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0					
Participation with accommodations	48	18	49	9	19 1	1570	10	48	18	49	19	1569	10	48	18	49	19	1570	10					
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	38	79	39	9	80 1	1127	72	38	79	39	80	1126	72	38	79	39	80	1127	72					
LEP	0	0	0		0	46	3	0	0	0	0	46	3	0	0	0	0	46	3					
504 plan	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Other	10	21	10	)	20	407	26	10	21	10	20	407	26	10	21	10	20	407	26					
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)	3	1	3		1	178	1	3	1	3	1	179	1	3	1	3	1	175	1					
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	3	10	) 3	1	100	177	99	3	100	3	100	178	99	3	100	3	100	174	99					
LEP	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
504 plan	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP	0	0	0		0	4	0																	
Approved non-participation – special consideration	0	0	0		0	14	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	14	0					
Non-participation – other	4	2	4		2	844	5	2	1	2	1	481	3	4	2	4	2	851	5					

<sup>1</sup> Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.
3 Percents are the percentage of students in each content area by mode.



### CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Date: May 2007 District: MSAD 75

<b>ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:</b> Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	•	STI	JDENTS A	AT EACH A	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden	_	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	Sta	ite
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Exceeds the Standards</b> – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006	19	8	21	9	1079	7
	2006-2007	<b>25</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1168</b>	<b>8</b>
	Cum. Avg.	22	9	24	10	1124	7
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006	112	49	111	48	5697	38
	2006-2007	<b>102</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>5714</b>	<b>38</b>
	Cum. Avg.	107	44	107	44	5706	38
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006	64	28	65	28	4772	32
	2006-2007	<b>71</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>4728</b>	<b>31</b>
	Cum. Avg.	68	28	68	28	4750	31
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006	34	15	34	15	3595	24
	2006-2007	<b>57</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>3444</b>	<b>23</b>
	Cum. Avg.	46	19	46	19	3520	23



# CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Date: May 2007 District: MSAD 75

					Sch	iool							Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E	ı	М		P	ı	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Jene	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Jeore
All Students	255	25	10	102	40	71	28	57	22	1141	257	10	40	28	22	1141	15054	8	38	31	23	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American	4										5	0	60	0	40	1135	290	2	21	26	52	1131
American Indian/Native Alaskan	1										1						78	4	28	33	35	1135
Asian/Pacific Islander	4										4						193	7	33	34	26	1139
Hispanic	5	0	0	1	20	2	40	2	40	1131	5	0	20	40	40	1131	123	6	28	34	33	1137
White	241	25	10	97	40	67	28	52	22	1142	242	11	40	28	21	1142	14370	8	39	31	22	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	58	1	2	11	19	16	28	30	52	1128	59	2	20	27	51	1129	1870	1	10	26	63	1127
No	197	24	12	91	46	55	28	27	14	1145	198	13	46	28	14	1145	13184	9	42	32	17	1142
NO	197	24	12	31	40	55	20	21	14	1145	190	13	40	20	14	1145	13104	9	42	32	17	1142
Limited English proficient students																						
Current LEP in first year	0										0						7	0	0	0	100	1122
Current LEP beyond first year	0										0						226	1	10	25	64	1127
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	31	2	6	6	19	9	29	14	45	1132	31	6	19	29	45	1132	3464	3	25	34	37	1134
No	224	23	10	96	43	62	28	43	19	1143	226	11	43	27	19	1143	11590	9	42	31	19	1142
		20	"			02	20	"		1110		''			"	1110	11000		-	"	"	
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						1					
No	255	25	10	102	40	71	28	57	22	1141	257	10	40	28	22	1141	15053	8	38	31	23	1141
Gender																						
Female	122	11	9	50	41	39	32	22	18	1142	122	9	41	32	18	1142	7401	8	40	33	19	1142
Male	133	14	11	52	39	32	24	35	26	1141	135	11	39	24	26	1141	7653	8	36	29	27	1140
Not Reported	0	• • •	''	02		02			20		0	''					0					'''
•																						
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						68	1	21	32	46	1131
No	255	25	10	102	40	71	28	57	22	1141	257	10	40	28	22	1141	14986	8	38	31	23	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						1					
No	255	25	10	102	40	71	28	57	22	1141	257	10	40	28	22	1141	15053	8	38	31	23	1141
	-55		"					"				.,								•		
			1		-									1	!					!		

#### Maine High School Assessment

### **MATHEMATICS RESULTS**

Date: May 2007 District: MSAD 75

<b>ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:</b> Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's <i>Lean</i>	•	STL	JDENTS A	AT EACH A	ACHIEVEN	MENT LEV	'EL*
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling idea	ntified	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	Sta	ate
standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a c of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" se	ombination	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2006-2007	9	4	10	4	578	4
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2006-2007	110	43	110	42	5481	36
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140)	2006-2007	65	25	65	25	4754	31
<b>Does Not Meet the Standards</b> – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)	2006-2007	73	28	74	29	4607	30



# MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Date: May 2007 District: MSAD 75

REPORTING CATEGORIES  All Students  Ethnicity  African American  American Indian/Native Alaskan  Asian/Pacific Islander  Hispanic  White  Not Reported  Identified disability  Yes  No  Limited English proficient student  Current LEP in first year  Current LEP beyond first year  Current LEP beyond first year  Economically disadvantaged  Yes  No  Migrant  Yes  No  Gender  Female  Male					Scł	nool							Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
	Tested	ĺ	E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Jeore	N	%	%	%	%	Jeore	N	%	%	%	%	Jeore
All Students	257	9	4	110	43	65	25	73	28	1141	259	4	42	25	29	1141	15420	4	36	31	30	1140
Ethnicity																						
•	4										5	0	20	0	80	1128	304	1	13	27	59	1133
American Indian/Native Alaskan	1										1						81	2	16	42	40	1137
Asian/Pacific Islander	4										4						204	6	40	25	29	1142
Hispanic	5	0	0	2	40	1	20	2	40	1137	5	0	40	20	40	1137	129	3	29	25	43	1138
White	243	9	4	104	43	63	26	67	28	1142	244	4	43	26	27	1142	14702	4	36	31	29	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0			! !		
Identified disability																						
•	59	0	0	9	15	14	24	36	61	1133	60	0	15	23	62	1133	1991	0	6	18	75	1131
	198	9	5	101	51	51	26	37	19	1144	199	5	51	26	19	1144	13429	4	40	33	23	1142
Limited English profisions students																						
<u> </u>	0										0						7	0	0	0	100	1125
	0										0						243	2	14	19	65	1133
Current LEP beyond first year	0										U						243		14	19	00	1100
	32	1	3	8	25	5	16	18	56	1135	32	3	25	16	56	1135	3606	1	20	31	48	1136
No	225	8	4	102	45	60	27	55	24	1142	227	4	45	26	25	1142	11814	5	40	31	24	1142
Migrant																						
	0										0		!				1					
No	257	9	4	110	43	65	25	73	28	1141	259	4	42	25	29	1141	15419	4	36	31	30	1140
Gender																						
	123	2	2	53	43	35	28	33	27	1141	123	2	43	28	27	1141	7566	3	35	33	29	1140
	134	7	5	57	43	30	22	40	30	1141	136	6	42	22	30	1142	7854	5	36	29	31	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0			İ			73	0	14	26	60	1134
No	257	9	4	110	43	65	25	73	28	1141	259	4	42	25	29	1141	15347	4	36	31	30	1140
	201	3	7			33		'	-0	''	200	, T				''	1007/	, T	30			1170
Gifted/talented program													!									
Yes	0										0						1					
No	257	9	4	110	43	65	25	73	28	1141	259	4	42	25	29	1141	15419	4	36	31	30	1140
			!	1	1	1	!	I	!	1		1	1	!	1	1	I	1	1	1		1



#### WRITING RESULTS

Date: May 2007 District: MSAD 75

School: Mt Ararat High School

**ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:** Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's *Learning Results*. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting.

**Exceeds the Standards** – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's

essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding

essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of

that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an

ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180)

and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160)

critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The

**Meets the Standards** – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions

effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate

examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused,

demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage,

Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage

errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so

inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is

generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas

**Does Not Meet the Standards** – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's

essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking,

with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay

is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)

and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140)

's responses <i>Results</i> .	STU	JDENTS A	AT EACH A	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	/EL
tified	Sch	ool	Dist	rict	Sta	ate
ation of g.	N	%	N	%	N	%
2005-2006	15	7	16	7	952	6
<b>2006-2007</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>937</b>	<b>6</b>
Cum. Avg.	17	7	17	7	945	6
2005-2006	119	52	120	52	6055	40
<b>2006-2007</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>6167</b>	<b>41</b>
Cum. Avg.	121	50	122	50	6111	40
2005-2006	61	27	61	26	4916	32
<b>2006-2007</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>4723</b>	<b>31</b>
Cum. Avg.	62	26	62	25	4820	32
2005-2006	34	15	34	15	3221	21
<b>2006-2007</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>3227</b>	<b>21</b>
Cum. Avg.	43	18	43	18	3224	21



# WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Date: May 2007 District: MSAD 75

					Sch	ool							Dist	trict					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P	ı	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	255	18	7	123	48	62	24	52	20	1142	257	7	48	25	20	1142	15054	6	41	31	21	1141
Ethnicity			İ																			
African American	4										5	0	20	40	40	1129	290	1	21	31	47	1132
American Indian/Native Alaskan	1										1						78	4	29	37	29	1136
Asian/Pacific Islander	4										4						193	6	31	35	28	1138
Hispanic	5	0	0	1	20	1	20	3	60	1128	5	0	20	20	60	1128	123	4	30	33	33	1137
White	241	18	7	119	49	58	24	46	19	1143	242	7	50	24	19	1143	14370	6	42	31	21	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
not rioported																						
Identified disability																						
Yes	58	0	0	11	19	18	31	29	50	1130	59	0	19	32	49	1130	1870	0	8	27	65	1127
No	197	18	9	112	57	44	22	23	12	1146	198	9	57	22	12	1146	13184	7	46	32	15	1143
Linda d For all the second street should not													!									
Limited English proficient students																	_					l
Current LEP in first year	0										0						7	0	0	0	100	1117
Current LEP beyond first year	0										0						226	1	10	25	63	1128
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	31	1	3	7	23	12	39	11	35	1134	31	3	23	39	35	1134	3464	2	26	36	37	1134
No	224	17	8	116	52	50	22	41	18	1144	226	8	52	23	18	1144	11590	8	45	30	17	1143
110		•••			02			''					02							-		
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						1					
No	255	18	7	123	48	62	24	52	20	1142	257	7	48	25	20	1142	15053	6	41	31	21	1141
O																						
Gender - ·			_								400	_						_				
Female	122	9	7	70	57	25	20	18	15	1145	122	7	57	20	15	1145	7401	7	46	31	15	1143
Male	133	9	7	53	40	37	28	34	26	1140	135	7	40	28	25	1140	7653	5	36	32	28	1138
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program													!									
Yes	0										0						68	0	15	43	43	1131
No	255	18	7	123	48	62	24	52	20	1142	257	7	48	25	20	1142	14986	6	41	31	21	1141
		10	'	1.20		52		02			207	,			1 -							''
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0			İ			1					
No	255	18	7	123	48	62	24	52	20	1142	257	7	48	25	20	1142	15053	6	41	31	21	1141
			1										<u> </u>									
				1										1			I		1	1		